

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/662,275	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HUANG, TIEN-TSAI	
	<b>Examiner</b> Travis R. Hunnings	<b>Art Unit</b> 2632	

**All Participants:**

(1) Travis R. Hunnings.

(2) Joseph De Benedictis.

**Date of Interview:** 10 January 2006

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 4pm

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*claim 14 as written depends on a cancelled claim. examiner suggested the claim depend on current claim 10 and an agreement was reached to do an examiner's amendment along those lines.*


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**DANIEL WU**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)